



Enhancing Teaching, Learning and Graduate Employability
through University-Enterprise Cooperation

Evaluation Report

Meeting of the Steering Committee

Ancona

22-23 November 2021



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

1. Summary

Day 1: 22 November 2021

9.30-9.45 Welcome and introduction of participants

Prof Giuseppe Canullo, Università Politecnica Delle Marche

Prof Nebojsa Stojcic, University of Dubrovnik

9.45-11.00 Overall review of project activities

Prof Nebojsa Stojcic; Prof Iraj Hashi, University of Dubrovnik

11.00-11.30 (Coffee)

11.30-13.00 Introducing Mrs Jenny Herbert, External Evaluator of the Project

Reports from partner institutions on the progress of the project

PSUT - Mr Yazan Abuyaghi/Prof Walid Salameh

JUST - Prof Fahmi Abu Al Rub

ABAU - Prof Tareq Alazab

MUBS - Prof Bassem Kaissi

BAU - Prof Sobhi Abou Chahine

INT@J - Mr Ayad Al Ashram

SCSL- Dr Joumana Dargham

SU - Prof Abdel Hamid Soliman

UNICPM - Prof Giuseppe Canullo

Psicoglobal - Dr Marina Ventura



13.00-14.30 Lunch

14.30-16.00 Discussion of the Intermediate Report of the Project and Steering Committee's Response

19.00 Dinner

Day 2: 23 November

9.30-13.00 Revised dissemination strategy and project visibility
Plan of Activities for 3rd year of the project
Prof Nebojsa Stojcic; Prof Iraj Hashi, University of
Dubrovnik and other participants

11.00-11.30 Coffee

Extension of the project by one year
WP1 (MOUs, software development)
WP2 (Work of the Liaison Office; additional seminars)
WP3 (Curriculum Development)

13.00-14.30 Lunch

14.30-16.00 Other outstanding issues
Equipment
Financial issues



2. Results

11 participants completed the evaluation form. Each question was answered on a 1-5 grade, with 1 being Poor and 5 being Excellent. The summary of the feedback was the following:

The meeting	Mean
The meeting was well planned and organised.	4
The agenda of the meeting was balanced, focusing on all key aspects of the project.	4,36
The participants received all information about the meeting on time.	4,36
The presentations by the partners were clear and understandable.	4,27
Partners had the chance and the possibility to meet and interact with each other.	4,3
The timetable was respected.	3,9
The conference room and its facilities facilitated the work during the meeting.	4
The overnight accommodation was satisfactory.	4,22
Access to the venue of the meeting was easy.	4,3
Catering and meals were satisfactory.	3,8
The Project	
I have a clear view of the project aims and objectives.	4,3
I understand clearly the administrative structure of the project.	4,2
The information given as to the administrative / financial management facilitated my understanding of those issues.	4,3
The information given helped me to better understand the Activities of the project.	4,3
I understand clearly the interactions and links between the different Activities.	4,2
I understand clearly the role of my institution/organization in this project and what is expected from me for the project.	4,4
The timescales proposed are realistic and feasible.	4,1
The meeting contributed positively to the progress of the project and the scheduling of the next steps.	4,4
The partnership	
I feel the project is built on a strong partnership with an efficient administrative and financial coordination.	4,2
The information given helped me better understand the deliverables each partner has to produce and contributed to the mutual understanding of each partner's mission.	4,2
The communication amongst the partners was effective and clear.	4,2
The meeting helped with the development of trust and positive attitudes among partners.	4,4
	4,2



The general organization of the event was good as we can see from the average results presented above. The average of the evaluations was 4,2 in general. Participants highlight the importance of developing activities to enhance employability of IT graduates, the work of liaison office and communication with industry to promote the involvement in the academia – industry relationship and activities. They suggest the importance of developing long-lasting relationship with partner universities, networking, cooperation, partnership and planning. They also commented on the importance of building a strong network with the project partners, to have common research, projects, teaching and learning activities. The project needs to increase its social media presence. Plans for Dissemination workshops alongside another project event if possible, or stand alone if finances permit. A careful check of EACEA and local Office suggestions should be made and suggestions acted upon.



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

ELEGANT

Enhancing Teaching, Learning and Graduate Employability
through University-Enterprise Cooperation